

# Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

## About this Document

This document is the Pre-Submission Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries.

The Register contains all valid representations received during the Regulation 14 Consultation period. The table sets out how the response was received (by email or in writing); who submitted the response, the main issues raised and whether or not these were in support or in objection to the plan; the specific policy to which the objection or support relates (if applicable); and the response of Brereton Parish Council as regards subsequent revisions to the Neighbourhood Plan. The register is listed in order of entry into the register.

Representations were received in the following ways:

- Entered online by the Respondent through SurveyMonkey, via the Neighbourhood Plan pages of the BreretonParishCouncil.org.uk website
- Received by email at clerk@breretonparishcouncil.org.uk
- Received by post to the Clerk of Brereton Parish Council
- Received by written Representation form.

In the Register of Entries below, column 2, Entry denotes the following:

- Blank = entered online by the Respondent via SurveyMonkey
- \* = received by email, post or form, and entered online via SurveyMonkey in its entirety by the Brereton Neighbourhood Plan project team. In these cases, a copy of the Representation is filed in the Supporting Document SD/C50b. The Reg.14 Ref. Id provides the ID to locate the Representation in SD/C50b.
- \*\* = received by email, post or form, and entered online via SurveyMonkey in summary form only by the Brereton Neighbourhood Plan project team, as the full Representation was too large to enter. In these cases, the full Representation received is referenced as attachments to the Supporting Documents SD/C50b. The Reg.14 Ref. Id provides the ID to locate the Representation in SD/C50b.

## Associated Documents

SD/C51a Regulation 14 Notification

SD/C51b Regulation 14 Representation Form

SD/C50b Regulation 14 Representations Reference Reports

SD/C50c Regulation 14 Response Reference Reports

**Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries**

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
101		ALISON HEINE	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Object	I CAN SEE NOT CONSIDERATION OF THE NEED FOR TRAVELLERS IF EVERY COMMUNITY TAKES THIS APPROACH NO PROVISION WILL BE PLANNED OR MADE FOR THIS SECTOR OF THE COMMUNITY THE ABSENCE OF ANY EXISTING SITE IS NOT GROUNDS TO IGNORE THIS NEED AS IT ONLY SERVES TO CONCENTRATE PROVISION WHERE IT EXISTS AND FAILS TO PROVIDE CHOICE OF LOCATION THERE REMAINS A NEED FOR MORE SITES ACROSS CHESHIRE AND THIS SHOULD NOT BE IGNORED BY EVERY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN. AT THE VERY LAST PLANS SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THIS IS A NEED THAT MAY BE BEST ADDRESSED AT A DISTRICT LEVEL BUT SHOULD NOT RULE OUT THE POSSIBILITY THAT SUCH PROVISION WILL BE REQUIRED WHETHER ADDRESSED IN A NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OR NOT.	Acknowledged. A new section within the Housing Policy Justification and Evidence in Appendix A will explain and justify the absence of a specific policy for traveller sites. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
101		ALISON HEINE	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Object	AS PREVIOUSLY	As above.	No
102		Elizabeth Love	Individual	1. Brereton Resident		General	Support	Supported in full	Acknowledged.	No
102		Elizabeth Love	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	Supported in full	Acknowledged.	No
102		Elizabeth Love	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	Supported in full	Acknowledged.	No
102		Elizabeth Love	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support	Supported in full	Acknowledged.	No
102		Elizabeth Love	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	Supported in full	Acknowledged.	No
102		Elizabeth Love	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	Supported in full	Acknowledged.	No
103		John Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
103		John Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
103		John Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
103		John Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
103		John Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
103		John Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
104		Debbie Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
104		Debbie Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
104		Debbie Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
104		Debbie Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
104		Debbie Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
104		Debbie Charlesworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
105		Scott Owen	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
105		Scott Owen	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
105		Scott Owen	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
105		Scott Owen	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
105		Scott Owen	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
105		Scott Owen	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
106		David Eglin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	No comment	Acknowledged.	No
106		David Eglin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
106		David Eglin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
106		David Eglin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
106		David Eglin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
106		David Eglin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
107		Bob Coulson	Individual	1. Brereton	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
107		Bob Coulson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
107		Bob Coulson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
107		Bob Coulson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
107		Bob Coulson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
107		Bob Coulson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
108		J & D Melia	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
108		J & D Melia	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
108		J & D Melia	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
108		J & D Melia	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
108		J & D Melia	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
108		J & D Melia	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	Page 25, Transport & Infrastructure. POLICY TRA03 IMPROVEMENTS TO ROAD SAFETY ON LOCAL ROADS Priority should be given for a reduction to the speed limit along the A54 from its junction with Moss Lane, through to the junction with Davenport Lane. This section of road is used by all types of high speed vehicles, low speed vehicles, cyclists and horses. The number of vehicles pulling out on to the A54 from the various dwellings/estates has/will increased. As soon as you think all is clear and commit to joining the A54, you have a vehicle closing in on you at high speed. It will only be a matter of time before someone is seriously injured or we have a fatality. A 30 mph has been introduced recently on other less dangerous roads in East Cheshire and we therefore see no reason why this limit should not be applied along this stretch of the A54. Consideration should also be given to the weight limit on vehicles using this road - several time a day houses near to the road experience strong vibrations resulting in plasterwork cracks, picture framing moving etc. The A534 should become the main route from Congleton out to the M6, thereby resulting in reduced traffic in the Holmes Chapel area also.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of our NP. Brereton Parish Council are currently making representations to Cheshire East on speed limits on the A54 and will continue to seek improvements to road safety as indicated in draft policy TRA03.	No
109		Ann Peach	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	Page 10 I am very impressed with the whole document which has been most thoroughly researched and beautifully produced. It represents one of the best aspects of life in Brereton ie that members of the community are prepared to volunteer their time and expertise for the benefit of the whole community. At every stage we have all been consulted and given the opportunity to contribute to the shaping of the plan. The commitment and dedication of the team who have worked on this plan must be recognised and I for one would like to record sincere thanks to all concerned.	Acknowledged.	No
109		Ann Peach	Individual	1. Brereton	FULL	Housing	Support	p15 Sect 6.1 HOU 03,04 and 05 Am in support of the Cheshire East "allocation " as long as it is not exploiting/profitteering from the empty property. Sect HOU11/12 totally	Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident				support this		
109		Ann Peach	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	P19 Sect 6.2 COM 01-04 The idea of a community 'hub' is excellent and I fully support this. Brereton's community would really benefit from this kind of 'centre' and I like the name. I do however have some concerns that the rector is beginning a campaign to raise funds for a 'community room' within the church building itself. whilst this may provide some slight contribution to the need for a centre; it would surely be better for us all to work together for a common place which everyone can use. The hub should not involve travelling down the lane to an inadequate car park on unlit roads where pedestrians are forced into the edge of the verge. Monday evenings when the cubs/scouts are arriving and departing at 7.30 is very dangerous. The new proposed hub needs to have good accessibility, enough parking which won't be abused and ideally should be somewhere that Brereton Heath residents feel is for them too! COM05 fine as long as it is strictly governed so not to be an eyesore at any point in the village	BPC will consult fully with the community before implementing these elements of the NP.	No
109		Ann Peach	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support	p20 6.3 BUS 01 se this is all very laudable and to be supported but the food and drink must be appropriate to our rural community. Farm shops/supporting our lovely old inn etc. but not "fast food" burger bars, layby cafes etc. On this matter too; the parking of huge lorries in our laybys and even outside the houses on Newcastle Rd south needs further consideration. A great deal of litter is left including, occasionally, some very unpleasant and unhygienic matter. Surely overnight parking should take place in properly designated areas not on the A50 or in the village.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the scope of our NP.	No
109		Ann Peach	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	p21 6.4 ENV03-05 I fully support all the proposals. At a time when there is growing pressure on the environment in so many ways we need to do all we can to preserve the very special nature of the lovely area in which we are most fortunate to live. This little corridor of green and relative peace is bordered by the madness of the M6 to the west, Greater Manchester to the North and Stoke to the south ; we can offer respite to neighbouring areas as well as continuing to nurture the special treasures of the Brereton/ Smethwick 'oasis'.	Acknowledged. Preserving the distinctive rural character of our parish is a key objective of the NP.	No
109		Ann Peach	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	p25 6.5 TRA02 Please see comments on the lorries on A50 in 6.3 BUS01 p20. Can we stop these huge unsightly trucks with all their attendant potential for litter and pollution? TRA2 yes please improvements most welcome! TRA04 Footpaths along the whole of the A50 from Brereton to Holmes Chapel and ideally a cycle lane with an edge to protect cyclists and pedestrians from the far too fast traffic on the A50. An island at the Brereton/Bear's Hd/Back Lane cross roads to slow down cars and offer safer crossing for residents who live on the west side of the village. Lots more warning signs and requests to slow down are needed on this part of the A50	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the scope of our NP.	No
110		Ann Jones	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
110		Ann Jones	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
110		Ann Jones	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
110		Ann Jones	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
110		Ann Jones	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
110		Ann Jones	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
111		Carol Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton	FULL	General	Support	I fully support the Neighbourhood Plan and the policies within it.	Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
111		Carol Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	I like the fact that the policies aim to meet the needs of all of the people of Brereton parish.	Acknowledged.	No
111		Carol Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	It will be good to get a community hub if the money can be found for it.	Acknowledged.	No
111		Carol Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support	It is a good idea to support business in the area.	Acknowledged.	No
111		Carol Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	I agree that it is important to maintain the rural environment of the area.	Acknowledged.	No
111		Carol Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	I agree that transport should be improved and the lanes made safer for all users.	Acknowledged.	No
112		Mark Elves	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
112		Mark Elves	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
112		Mark Elves	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
112		Mark Elves	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
112		Mark Elves	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
112		Mark Elves	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	1. I note that recently the Parish Council have taken to issue relevant information to residents on the progress of the BNPlan, also that responses should be returned to the Clerk to BPC. This is a welcome step considering the Working Team have had to forage for themselves in respect of the issue, circulation and collection of survey responses prior to this!	Acknowledged.	No
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	2. Unfortunately I have been unwell and not able to read the plan in the library so my comments are on the pre submission document and process. I consider that many other residents for one reason or another will NOT read the full document. I trust that you will take my comments in the constructive vein they are offered and that I fully appreciate the immense work and time put in by the Work Team to get the Plan this far. However now the Parish Council is taking deeper interest in the day-to-day matters I trust that they will be taking some additional burden off the team	Acknowledged.	No
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	3. The pre submission document sets out and summaries, consolidates the input, views, needs and expectations of residents in the survey documents. If I am correct this is the first feed back on the consolidated findings. The report states that the policies, objectives etc were agreed with the community but this (I believe) is the first opportunity to present them in a consolidated format. As such I would expect that residents each receive a full copy of the report to illicit their views and support. I fully recognizes the additional cost and support required to achieve this but it does appear to be within the spirit of the Legislation that each resident and stake holder and the requirement to be seen to afford each stake holder with equivalent opportunity to respond. Whilst the use of the web site and local facilities	Response. Full copies of the Plan were available at several locations throughout the Parish, as well as at Local Libraries. Two open consultation events were held at the Primary School with Full copies available.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
								may meet the need of many it will not reach many of the community!		
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	4. I have reviewed the pre submission document and the response document and observe that there is no opportunity for personal responses outside of the set questions. Section 7 General Questions also refers to specific items. Some of my comments would if allowed qualify support across.	Acknowledged.	No
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	5. Throughout the document there are commitments from the Parish Council as to how it will support the aims of the policies etc. However there is very little in the Plan which is not already within the remit of the Parish Council already. The Plan simply restates the Parish Council remit in a convenient form and includes the priorities an aspiration of residents. Unfortunately for my reading of Parish Council minutes and attendance at meetings the Council has been reactive on many of the relevant issue raised by the Plan.	Response. The process to implement the NP is set out in Section 7 of the Full Pre-submission document.	No
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	6. The Work Team whilst set up by the Parish Council and supported financially will need to be replaced by an active implementation team which is representative of all settlements. This the Work Team found extremely difficult and it will be no easier in the implementation stages.	The process to implement the NP is set out in Section 7 of the Full Pre-submission document.	No
113	*	David Brown	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	7. The Plan fails in that it contains no positive plans for the implementation stage. Unless this is achieved then the Plan may be doomed to a reactive document to be resurrected only to oppose Cheshire East Council actions. This is an activity requiring the Parish Council direct and urgent action or the £20,000 spent on the plan could have been spent more usefully on direct improvements required by residents	The process to implement the NP is set out in Section 7 of the Full Pre-submission document.	No
114	**	Marie White	Sport England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	1. Planning Policy in the National Planning Policy Framework identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Neighbourhood Plans should reflect national policy for sport with particular reference to NPPF Paras 73 and 74 to ensure proposals comply with National Planning Policy.	Acknowledged. BPC acknowledge Sport England's comments and these will be taken account of in the implementation of the NP.	No
114	**	Marie White	Sport England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	2. Sport England supports protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing fields	Acknowledged.	No
114	**	Marie White	Sport England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	3. If local authorities have prepared a Playing Pitch Strategy or other indoor/outdoor sports strategy it will be important that the Neighbourhood Plan reflects the recommendations set out in that document and that any local investment opportunities, such as the Community Infrastructure Levy, are utilised to support the delivery of those recommendations.	Acknowledged.	No
115		Keith Dale	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	Huge support for the plan.	Acknowledged.	No
116		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	General	Support	The emerging Brereton Neighbourhood Plan (BNP) contains a series of policies that seek to deliver sustainable development and include positive approaches to planning across a range of issues from landscape and environment to housing, biodiversity and the local economy. There are areas that would benefit from further policy development and from the preparation of further evidence to support the position already preferred by the parish council. The points raised below refer to key recommendations that Cheshire East Council feels are necessary to address to deliver a neighbourhood plan that is supported by evidence, complies with national planning policy and does not conflict with the strategic aims of the emerging Local Plan Strategy for Cheshire East. The recommendations outlined below are intended to assist the Parish Council toward submission of a neighbourhood plan that meets the Basic Conditions as outlined in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012). Key Issues: • Definition of set	Acknowledged.	No
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Housing	Support	page: 15 Section 6.1 Policy HOU03 No conflict with existing or emerging CEC Development Plan. Comment: Should BPC seek to rely on the existing settlement boundary for settlements within Brereton Brereton as identified in the Congleton Local Plan (2006) (CLP) they should be aware that 1) these boundaries are likely to be subject to	Accepted. Policy HOU02 will be replaced with a new Settlement Boundary policy which will define boundaries, and provide the justification and evidence.	Yes

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
								alteration via the local plan process and that 2) where a local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five supply of land for housing, those policies related to the restriction of housing supply (including settlement boundaries) cannot be afforded full weight for decision making purposes. Therefore, the policy as proposed will be subject to change outside the remit of the neighbourhood plan. If the intention of the policy is to retain control over such changes at the neighbourhood plan level, further work is recommended to define the settlement boundaries referred to, at the neighbourhood plan level. Recommendation: Should BPC seek to rely on a defined settlement boundary for the purposes of neighbourhood plan policies, BPC should introduce an additional policy specifically relating to Settlement Boundaries and identifying the extent of the settlement boundary for the settlements within Brereton. This would remove any doubt over the relationship between BNP and the CLP (and any alterations that may be made to boundaries held within the CLP). If such a policy is introduced, supporting evidence to justify the decisions reached on the extent and limits of such boundaries should be provided to support the policy position.	See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Housing	Support	page: 15 Section 6.1 Policy HOU05 No conflict with existing or emerging CEC Development Plan. Comment: Reference is made here to 'confirmation of local connections within Brereton' as a factor in considering self build applications. If the intention of the policy is to limit self build on this basis the policy should explicitly state the criteria to be applied. The criteria, if made explicit, need to be carefully considered to avoid possible implications (for example in regard to equality legislation). Recommendation: Explicitly state the criteria that will apply to the granting of permission for self build ie – employed locally, resident in the parish for a certain amount of time, family resident in the parish etc.	Accepted. It is suggested that the wording of this policy make reference to local need requirements set out in HOU12. Add additional wording to the Policy HOU05. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Housing	Support	Page: 17 Section 6.1 Policy HOU12 Potential conflict with other legislation relating to the provision of Affordable Housing Comment: The allocation of affordable housing is a statutory responsibility of the Local Planning Authority under the Housing Act 1996 (amended by the Localism Act 2011). Housing authorities are required by s.166A(1) to have an allocation scheme for determining priorities, and for defining the procedures to be followed in allocating housing accommodation; and they must allocate in accordance with that scheme (s.166A(14)). All aspects of the allocation process must be covered in the scheme, including the people by whom decisions are taken. In the Secretary of State's view, qualification criteria form part of an allocation scheme. All housing authorities must have an allocation scheme, regardless of whether they own housing stock and whether they contract out the delivery of any of their allocation functions (see further chapter 6). When framing or modifying their scheme, authorities must have regard to their current tenancy and homelessness strategies (s.166A(12)). Policy HOU12 establishes criteria that seek to allocate affordable housing in Brereton. This must comply with the CEC Affordable housing allocations policy. Recommendation: Ensure that the policy is fully compliant with the Cheshire East Affordable Housing Allocations Policy and refer directly to this policy.	Accepted. The Plan will be changed to make it clearer that the criteria for a local connection to comply with plan policies for self-build and open market housing do not relate to the Cheshire East Council's own policies for affordable housing and rural exceptions housing for local needs. Affordable housing policy at a strategic level is set out in existing and emerging Local Plan policies. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Housing	Support	Page: 17 Section 6.1 Policy HOU10 Comment: See comments relating to HOU12 Recommendation: See comments relating to HOU12	Accepted. The Plan will be changed to amend the Policy to make reference to Open Market housing in the heading for the avoidance of doubt, and also a reference to HOU12 for the local need definition. Some justification will be added for the period of 9 months within which to allow for a local need to come forward and the property be sold. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire	6.	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No



## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
			East Council	Statutory Council						
117		Tom Evans	Cheshire East Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
118		Sandy Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	I wholly support the Plan that Brereton have submitted and they are to be commended on their excellent work in putting a very professional Plan together. I wish them every success.	Acknowledged.	No
118		Sandy Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
118		Sandy Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
118		Sandy Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
118		Sandy Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
118		Sandy Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
119		Julie A Brown	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
119		Julie A Brown	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
119		Julie A Brown	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
119		Julie A Brown	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
119		Julie A Brown	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
119		Julie A Brown	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
120		Stephen J Fern	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
120		Stephen J Fern	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
120		Stephen J Fern	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
120		Stephen J Fern	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
120		Stephen J Fern	Individual	1.	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Brereton Resident						
120		Stephen J Fern	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
121	*	Richard Rabone	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Neither	On going through the paragraph on page 4, headed "Brereton Parish & Future Challenges," I was gobsmacked to read the 5th sentence "The parish enjoys excellent transport links to the regional employment areas." My reading of this focussed on the word (public) "transport," which all of us within Brereton parish know is pretty abysmal! Others I have spoken to have also read this sentence as meaning that public transport from Brereton to other places is excellent! On raising this with Alastair Strang I learned that it was (supposed to be) referring to the road links, not transport per se. Hence, in the final version/s of this and the Plan as a whole (if it is included), I think the sentence should be re-worded to read "The parish enjoys excellent road connectivity to the regional employment areas," and not include the word "transport" unless referring to the lack of it.	Response. The text referred to is only in the pre submission Summary document and therefore will not be repeated in the Submission version of the NP which will not include a summary.	No
122		Sophie Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
122		Sophie Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
122		Sophie Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
122		Sophie Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
122		Sophie Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
122		Sophie Lindsay	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
123		Jill Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
123		Jill Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
123		Jill Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
123		Jill Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
123		Jill Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
124		Zbigniew Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
124		Zbigniew Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
124		Zbigniew	Individual	1.	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
		Matusiak		Brereton Resident						
124		Zbigniew Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
124		Zbigniew Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
124		Zbigniew Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
125		Christine Lawrence	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
125		Christine Lawrence	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
125		Christine Lawrence	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
125		Christine Lawrence	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
125		Christine Lawrence	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
125		Christine Lawrence	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
126		Emily Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
126		Emily Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
126		Emily Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
126		Emily Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
126		Emily Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
126		Emily Matusiak	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
131		JULIE MASON	SOMERFORD PARISH COUNCIL	6. Statutory Council	FULL	General	Support	There are numerous small woods and coverts and the River Croco meanders through the centre of the area and the SSSI of the Dane Valley borders it to the north. Strange the River on the map of the area.	Response. We were unclear as to the meaning of this Comment. Having spoken to the Clerk of Somerford Parish Council, we now understand that the comment should have read as follows: "There are numerous small woods and coverts and the River Croco meanders through the centre of the area and the SSSI of the Dane Valley borders it to the north. Strange this is not shown as the River on the map of the area."	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
									Response. The map on page 7 of the Full Pre-Submission Plan shows the proximity of the River Dane to the designated area, as does the map C25 in Appendix C.	
131		JULIE MASON	SOMERFORD PARISH COUNCIL	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Housing	Support	The policies on housing development will allow up to 50 new houses to be built within the parish by the end of plan period in 2030. These are to be located in the existing built up areas of the parish, and include recent developments and permissions. 50 new houses in 15 years - including recent developments and permissions - hardly seems like they are accepting their "fair share" given the size of the parish when compared with Somerford - 500 new houses in 3 years. On that basis - since the onus of accepting new development seems to be placed on neighbouring parishes - in particular Somerford - can we support their aspirations? It also appears that they have already got their 50 assuming current applications will be granted - therefore their allowance is effectively nil. Having said that, SPC no more wanted development in Brereton than they did in Somerford .....	Response. Somerford Parish Council was consulted in January 2015 with respect to any housing need requirements of Brereton. Their response indicated no housing need had been carried out. Brereton's housing needs projection is based on evidence, including input from external consultants. This evidence can be found in the full pre-submission document and the associated supporting documents SD/C17 and SD/C18. The independently produced projection references current (ie May 2014 submission) Cheshire East Local Plan numbers that are relevant to Brereton Parish, i.e. 2000 dwellings in the rural areas. The Brereton NP allows for a potential 50% increase in the rural allocation of dwellings by Cheshire East as a result of further work undertaken by Cheshire East as a result of their examiners interim report.	No
131		JULIE MASON	SOMERFORD PARISH COUNCIL	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
131		JULIE MASON	SOMERFORD PARISH COUNCIL	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
131		JULIE MASON	SOMERFORD PARISH COUNCIL	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
131		JULIE MASON	SOMERFORD PARISH COUNCIL	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
132		Alan Day	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	Typographical errors found in the plan: (1)(page 9) 3 National And Local Planning Context - column1/line22 - the words "...the policy..." do not have a space between them. (2)(page 16) 6.1 Housing Development - Policy HOU06 No.1/HOU07 No.3 & No.4 - All words on the first line do not have spaces between them. (3)(page56) Appendix C - Key Maps - Key 2, "...opposite..." has a "p" missing / Key10, "...opposite..." has one "p" too many.	Accepted. Typographical errors will be addressed in the Submission version.	Yes
132		Alan Day	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
132		Alan Day	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
132		Alan Day	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
132		Alan Day	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
132		Alan Day	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
133		Clive Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton	FULL	General	Support	I consider the Plan represents the needs and forward planning our community requires to sustain and develop in a way which will retain the viability and character of the Parish.	Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
133		Clive Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	I believe the current unregulated position which allows for unconsidered development of accommodation which is not suited to or required by the Parish	Acknowledged.	No
133		Clive Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	I consider it fully sums up the way forward for the Parish	Acknowledged.	No
133		Clive Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support	As a rural community we should support future of both for farming and leisure industries	Acknowledged.	No
133		Clive Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	I consider it sustains our rural community	Acknowledged.	No
133		Clive Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	Our transport policy should assist in sustaining the community	Acknowledged.	No
134		Jan Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	I think it sets out the current status and needs of the community	Acknowledged.	No
134		Jan Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
134		Jan Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
134		Jan Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
134		Jan Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
134		Jan Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
135		Holmes Chapel Parish Council	Holmes Chapel Parish Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	General	Support	All the policies.	Acknowledged.	No
135		Holmes Chapel Parish Council	Holmes Chapel Parish Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Housing	Support	HOU7 and HOU10 for the preservation of open spaces and ensuring housing is made available for locals at a reasonable price.	Acknowledged.	No
135		Holmes Chapel Parish Council	Holmes Chapel Parish Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Community	Support	COM04 providing community facilities is vital to allow the community to meet locally.	Acknowledged.	No
135		Holmes Chapel Parish Council	Holmes Chapel Parish Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
135		Holmes Chapel Parish Council	Holmes Chapel Parish Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	Environment	Support	Protecting the local environment is vital to retain the character of the area but to support local rural businesses.	Acknowledged.	No
135		Holmes Chapel	Holmes	6.	FULL	Transport	Support	Policy TRA02 is vital to encourage residents to use forms of transport other than a car to	Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
		Parish Council	Chapel Parish Council	Statutory Council				use the local facilities in other areas, e.g. Holmes Chapel.		
136		Anna Eaton	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
136		Anna Eaton	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	Transport Policy We live on the boundry of Holmes Chapel and Brereton, on the A54 which runs from Holmes Chapel to Congleton. At the moment the road has the national speed limit, but there have been many accidents over the past few years and we feel that these accidents would be greatly reduced if the speed limit was brought down to maybe 50 miles per hour. Between February 2014 and 2015 there were at least 4 incidents along our stretch of the road, damaging property, hedges and vehicles, obviously people were hurt in these accidents. There are many local roads that have had the speed limits reduced recently, many of which are wider and straighter than our road. Because the road outside our property is quite straight, many drivers take risks to overtake at this point, the consequence being that they are then travelling at a speed considerably greater than 60mph. The speed limit on the A54 through Sproston and Somerford has been reduced to 50mph, also increasing the risk of overtaking and therefore	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of our NP. Brereton Parish Council are currently making representations to Cheshire East on speed limits on the A54 and will continue to seek improvements to road safety as indicated in draft policy TRA03.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	Thankyou for providing this excellent scheme.	Acknowledged.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	Thankyou for providing this excellent scheme.	Acknowledged.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
137		Catherine Ripley	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
139	*	Stuart Yarwood	Individual	2. Brereton Business	FULL	General	Support	Page 12 Vision and Objectives If Brereton is to remain rural, it will need to do more to retain its local agriculture. Very few or any of farm staff are Brereton Residents. A labour shortage will accelerate the decline in this industry in the next 15 years. Too much emphasis on retaining rural without any consideration of how it will be maintained by faceless farming!	Acknowledged. Section 6.3, Business and Economic Activity objectives fully support this view.	No
139	*	Stuart Yarwood	Individual	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Housing	Support	Page 17 Local Housing Connections Brereton has a number of rented houses to C.E which were originally for farm workers, Smethwick, Davenport Lane, Sandlow Green, Brereton Green, Back Lane. When these houses become vacant Policy 12, Section 3 should favour people with rural skills to fulfil this Plan's objectives.	Response. The ability for the Parish Council to influence allocation of social housing gives rise to conflict with other legislation relating to the provision of social housing. The allocation of affordable housing is a statutory responsibility of the Local Planning Authority under the Housing Act 1996 (amended by the Localism Act 2011). Housing authorities are required by s.166A(1) to have an allocation scheme for determining priorities, and for defining the procedures to be followed in allocating housing accommodation; and they must allocate in accordance with that scheme (s.166A(14)). All aspects of the	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
									allocation process must be covered in the scheme, including the people by whom decisions are taken. In the Secretary of State's view, qualification criteria form part of an allocation scheme. In this respect, it is therefore not possible for the Plan's Local Connections policy to be part of the social housing allocation.	
139	*	Stuart Yarwood	Individual	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Community	Object	Page 40 Section 1/2, Policy 1 Villages with halls such as Smallwood and Bradwall are struggling to keep them going. Not aware of any evidence of BPC supporting a hub. Section 1, Policy 2 The justification and evidence may be met with proposed community centre at St Oswald's Church.	Response. Residents feedback indicated the need to have better community facilities. The NP does not allocate a specific site for this, but sets out the policy requirements as a result of community consultation feedback. The implementation stage of the NP will require to evaluate the policy requirements against the commercial viability and implementation strategies. This could include any proposed facility at St. Oswalds Church to meet some of the requirements as stated in Policy COM02. The NP does not preclude any additional facilities being developed to meet the full requirements as stated in Policy COM02.	No
139	*	Stuart Yarwood	Individual	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Business	Support	Page 20, Section ALL, policy 1 Endorse the aspirations but question the how the encouraging and supporting these ambitions will add value to commodities, and little evidence to support them on page 43	Acknowledged.	No
139	*	Stuart Yarwood	Individual	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Environment	Support	Objective The general view that green space should not be built on but people are allowed to have access to it is contentious. Most of the biodiversity and geodiversity is man made or maintained and landowners should be allowed to continue to evolve this landscape.	Acknowledged.	No
139	*	Stuart Yarwood	Individual	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Transport	Object	Page 24, Section 1, Policy 2 After watching trespassers set dogs loose on the footpath to chase brown hares and eventually kill them. Footpaths are unnecessary and many should be closed to achieve Env Policy objectives and Bus Pol objectives for many bio-security reasons	Response. This is assumed to refer to Policy TRA02 / para 1. We do not accept that footpaths are unnecessary. The NP is required to be in general compliance with national and LPA policies. The NP acknowledges that PROW are a very important aspect of rural life in our community. This was also evidenced in the response to community consultations. However, we fully agree that footpaths should be used in accordance with Natural England's The Countryside Code.	No
140	*	Stephen and Shirley Harrison	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	We support maintaining and enhancing the rural community and green gap status of the Brereton parish. If there is much more development it would drive us to move away which we don't want to do as we love living here. if no more than 50 houses are built.	Acknowledged.	No
140	*	Stephen and Shirley Harrison	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support	Controlled development is vital, in keeping with the nature and scenic and architectural characteristics of the area. Recently, extensive building has taken place around Somerford. It is time for other areas to embrace more development.	Acknowledged.	No
140	*	Stephen and Shirley Harrison	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Neither	There is not sufficient detail of what improving local facilities actually means, apart from a Community Hub! Existing facilities could be enhanced without building new ones.	Response. The Parish Council will consult fully with the community before implementing these elements of the NP.	No
140	*	Stephen and Shirley Harrison	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support	We would welcome small, low key business development that does not negatively impact on the scenic and natural aspects of the area, utilising redundant buildings where possible.	Acknowledged.	No
140	*	Stephen and Shirley Harrison	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support	Existing grass verges (eg. Brereton Heath Lane) should be retained as they enhance the visual aesthetic nature.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of the NP.	No
140	*	Stephen and Shirley Harrison	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	Speed limits should be reduced on relevant roads - eg. Brereton Heath Lane currently permits speeds of up to 60 mph - quite ridiculous considering the number of houses on this road and threat to residents' safety.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of our NP. Brereton Parish Council are currently making representations to Cheshire East on speed limits on the A54 and will continue to seek improvements to road safety as indicated in draft policy TRA03.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
141	*	Nutty	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	Page 32, Section 5, Policy A1 A full survey with regards to wildlife should be carried out for planned construction of houses within the Moss Lane area. Having worked in this area I was amazed at the volume of wildlife present around the area. LPA Ref 14/0648C	Acknowledged. Policy ENV04 para 2 states that a Bio-Diversity Impact Assessment should be included with planning applications.	No
141	*	Nutty	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
141	*	Nutty	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
141	*	Nutty	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support	Page 43, Section 2, Policy A3 Small businesses only for local residents	Response. Policy BUS01 acknowledges that the rural economy will benefit from small business, regardless whether they are owned by local residents or not.	No
141	*	Nutty	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	Page 45, Section 2, Policy A4 As a wild life rich area full surveys should be carried out before giving planning permission	Acknowledged. Policy ENV04 para 2 states that a Bio-Diversity Impact Assessment should be included with planning applications.	No
141	*	Nutty	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	Page 49, Section 1, Policy A5 Speed and size of Horse boxes along Smethtwick Lane (very large horse boxes)	Acknowledged. Policy TRA03 specifically seeks improvements to road safety on local roads.	No
142	*	Philip Barnes	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
142	*	Philip Barnes	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	Not all listed buildings are identified, for example, The Old Rectory is not listed. May not be important for the plan?	Accepted. Listing Buildings will be cross- checked on the Historic England website and the Maps and Supporting Documents at SD/C24a and SD/C24b will be updated.	Yes
142	*	Philip Barnes	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
142	*	Philip Barnes	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
142	*	Philip Barnes	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
142	*	Philip Barnes	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
143	*	G.F. Spilsbury	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
143	*	G.F. Spilsbury	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
143	*	G.F. Spilsbury	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
143	*	G.F. Spilsbury	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
143	*	G.F. Spilsbury	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
143	*	G.F. Spilsbury	Individual	1. Brereton	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No



## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
144	*	T.J.Franklin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
144	*	T.J.Franklin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support	Is the total of 50 dwellings by 2030 realistic? The national demand for houses could swamp this.	Acknowledged. The derivation of the housing need for Brereton has been produced by independent consultants using comprehensive data and methods, and is fully detailed in supporting document SD/C17 and SD/C18..	No
144	*	T.J.Franklin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
144	*	T.J.Franklin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
144	*	T.J.Franklin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support	The plan should make specific reference to the need to minimise light pollution with proper down lighting in new developments.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the scope of our NP.	No
144	*	T.J.Franklin	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	The issue of derestricted minor roads and lanes must be addressed e.g. A54 has limits but Brereton Heath Lane is derestricted!	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of our NP. Brereton Parish Council are currently making representations to Cheshire East on speed limits on the A54 and will continue to seek improvements to road safety as indicated in draft policy TRA03.	No
145	*	Mary Adamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
145	*	Mary Adamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	Page 15, Section 1 The agreed boundaries as outlined in Map C 20A should be adhered to.	Acknowledged.	No
145	*	Mary Adamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	Page 19 Facilities as outline in the plan as near as possible be implemented in full.	Acknowledged.	No
145	*	Mary Adamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support	page 20, Policy BUS01 Sustainable businesses are to be encouraged with the influx of more houses. This should include more NHS facilities.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the scope of our NP.	No
145	*	Mary Adamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	Page 22, ENV04 Any proposed development should be within existing boundaries	Acknowledged.	No
146	*	Clare Sant	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	No mention (or very little mention) of School or Church	Response. The Plan acknowledges the importance of both the School and St. Oswalds Church as key assets within the Parish. This is referenced in Policy COM03, and supporting documents SD/C22a and SD/C22b.	No
146	*	Clare Sant	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
146	*	Clare Sant	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
146	*	Clare Sant	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
146	*	Clare Sant	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
146	*	Clare Sant	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
147	*	Kelly Longworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
147	*	Kelly Longworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
147	*	Kelly Longworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
147	*	Kelly Longworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
147	*	Kelly Longworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
147	*	Kelly Longworth	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
148	*	Gleave	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
148	*	Gleave	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
148	*	Gleave	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
148	*	Gleave	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
148	*	Gleave	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
148	*	Gleave	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
149	*	Rachael Downing	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
149	*	Rachael Downing	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
149	*	Rachael Downing	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
149	*	Rachael Downing	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
149	*	Rachael Downing	Individual	4. Non-Brereton	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
149	*	Rachael Downing	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
150	*	Sharon Edwards	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
150	*	Sharon Edwards	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
150	*	Sharon Edwards	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
150	*	Sharon Edwards	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
150	*	Sharon Edwards	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
150	*	Sharon Edwards	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
151	*	Sharon Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
151	*	Sharon Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
151	*	Sharon Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
151	*	Sharon Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
151	*	Sharon Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
151	*	Sharon Kenyon	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
152	*	Paula Taylor	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
152	*	Paula Taylor	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
152	*	Paula Taylor	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
152	*	Paula Taylor	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
152	*	Paula Taylor	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
152	*	Paula Taylor	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
153	*	E J Nagington	Brereton preschool	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
153	*	E J Nagington	Brereton preschool	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
153	*	E J Nagington	Brereton preschool	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
153	*	E J Nagington	Brereton preschool	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
153	*	E J Nagington	Brereton preschool	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
153	*	E J Nagington	Brereton preschool	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
154	*	David and Adrienne Knowles	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	We are in broad agreement with all the concerns expressed in the plan and the proposals it contains.	Acknowledged.	No
154	*	David and Adrienne Knowles	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
154	*	David and Adrienne Knowles	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
154	*	David and Adrienne Knowles	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
154	*	David and Adrienne Knowles	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
154	*	David and Adrienne Knowles	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	The Parish is bisected by the A50 and the A54. We believe more stringent speed restrictions are required on these two highways through the Parish as well as the network of narrow lanes connecting the various settlements. This would reduce the risk of accidents as would better maintenance of all highways and verges.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of our NP. Brereton Parish Council are currently making representations to Cheshire East on speed limits on the A54 and will continue to seek improvements to road safety as indicated in draft policy TRA03.	No
155	*	P.J.Walker	Peter Walker Associates	2. Brereton Business	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
155	*	P.J.Walker	Peter Walker Associates	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Housing	Support	How many of the listed consent numbers (as listed page 32, para 5) are included in the 50 houses before 2030? As Brereton Heath has suffered 58 unit consents recently other allocations/exceptions should be within Brereton Green area.	Response. All listed consent numbers are included in housing supply. The NP does not seek to allocate any further sites for Policies HOU01 and HOU02.	No
155	*	P.J.Walker	Peter Walker Associates	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Community	Support	Presumably new community centre will be in/close to Brereton Green! How will this be funded? Do you have a project date in mind yet?	Response. The location, timing and funding of a Community Hub will be considered during the implementation stage of the NP. Priorities will be established by the Parish Council when the NP is 'made' by Cheshire East. This is expected to be early in	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
									2016..	
155	*	P.J.Walker	Peter Walker Associates	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
155	*	P.J.Walker	Peter Walker Associates	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
155	*	P.J.Walker	Peter Walker Associates	2. Brereton Business	FULL	Transport	Neither	Off site parking at Brereton Heath Local Reserve is causing problems to local traffic. Additional "free" parking should be provided on-site!	Acknowledged. Policy COM04 seeks to create additional parking facilities. Policy TRA04 sets out priorities for improvement areas, including Additional Parking. This is of a general nature however, and does not specifically relate to Brereton Heath LNR.	No
156	*	B D Harris	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
156	*	B D Harris	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
156	*	B D Harris	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
156	*	B D Harris	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
156	*	B D Harris	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
156	*	B D Harris	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
157		Helen Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
157		Helen Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	I support the Housing Policy and hope that the limit on new-build can be sustained as set out in HOU01 With regard to development on Moss Lane, please see comments under protecting the Rural Environment.	Acknowledged.	No
157		Helen Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	p 19 COM03 Is Brereton Community Space the only Asset of Community Value in the parish? We have a number of facilities listed at C22 including a church, which is planning to convert a part of the building into a Community Room. I understand that the Rector was asked for, and has given, full details of proposed plans which are not included in this document.	Response. The NP acknowledges that there are many key facilities within Brereton, and these are listed on Existing Community facilities in supporting documents SD/C22a and SD/C22b. Policy COM03 advocates that these should be retained and improved. The Asset of Community Value relates to a specific government regulation, which allows for an application to be made to the local planning authority (Cheshire East) for a specific site or facility to be designated an Asset of Community Value. If listed, then it provides for a special status such that any subsequent sale of the site / facility can be delayed to allow the Parish Council time to raise funds with the aim of purchasing the site / facility. We acknowledged that the wording of the Policy COM03 / para 5 needs clarification and we will do this. The NP has no access to any proposed plans for the Community Room.	Yes
157		Helen Edge	Individual	1. Brereton	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Resident						
157		Helen Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support	p 22 ENV04 The Moss Lane area has an enormous diversity of wildlife. As its name suggests, there are mosses there which encourage specific species of wildlife not generally found. Assessments need to be carried out before building work commences here.	Acknowledged. Policy ENV04 para 2 states that a Bio-Diversity Impact Assessment should be included with planning applications.	No
157		Helen Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	p 25 TRA01/TRA02 Access between Brereton Green and Brereton Heath via the unadopted road/bridleway/footpath must be made more useable for cyclists and pedestrians - at the moment there are few times of the year when it is walkable without wellingtons. Better access would enhance community integration.	Acknowledged.	No
160		Michael Cox/Jean Edwards	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	First of all we would like to congratulate the authors on a very thorough, professional plan which must be the result of a lot of hard work It is good to have stressed the rural nature of Brereton and the need to retain it thus. Likewise small dispersed settlements of individual character which are complementary to the 2 principal settlements of Brereton Heath and Brereton Green, should be retained.	Acknowledged.	No
160		Michael Cox/Jean Edwards	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	P 14: sentence ending .....but work in urban areas such as Manchester. Better to add OR STOKE P 15 Policy HOU02: It might be better to say..... New housing development shall be located within the existing settlements of Brereton Heath and Brereton Green OR IN EXTREMIS IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO THESE SETTLEMENTS - SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF POLICY HOU07. This should take care of any arguments such as "there is no space to build extra dwellings in the 2 settlements"	Acknowledged. Manchester was used as example, but the intention is to mean "nearby urban areas". The wording will be clarified Policy HOU03 Housing Exceptions caters for housing beyond the Settlement Boundaries.	Yes
160		Michael Cox/Jean Edwards	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	P 19 Policy COM05 - provision of car parking for countryside facilities. If we wish to encourage people to use or make more use of the facilities such as Brereton Heath Local Nature Reserve, would it not make sense to provide free car parking?	Response. No action required. The commercial basis for parking provision is not within the scope of the NP.	No
160		Michael Cox/Jean Edwards	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
160		Michael Cox/Jean Edwards	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
160		Michael Cox/Jean Edwards	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
161		A. Strang	Brereton Parish Community Interest Group	3. Brereton Organisation	FULL	General	Support	The Community Interest Group (BPCIG) welcomes the publication of the Neighbourhood Plan. The Plan is an important initiative to the ongoing development of Brereton. In particular it addresses social and infrastructure related factors that are important to building stronger communities.	Acknowledged.	No
161		A. Strang	Brereton Parish Community Interest Group	3. Brereton Organisation	FULL	Housing	Support	BPCIG are in full support of all the these policies.	Acknowledged.	No
161		A. Strang	Brereton Parish Community Interest Group	3. Brereton Organisation	FULL	Community	Support	COM01 & COM02: BPCIG are in full support of the creation of a Community Hub. We see this as a fundamental element of infrastructure to develop and sustain a strong and inspired community. COM03, COM04, COM05: BPCIG are in full support of all the these policies.	Acknowledged.	No
161		A. Strang	Brereton Parish Community Interest Group	3. Brereton Organisation	FULL	Business	Support	BPCIG are in full support of all the these policies.	Acknowledged.	No
161		A. Strang	Brereton Parish	3. Brereton	FULL	Environment	Support	BPCIG are in full support of all the these policies.	Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
			Community Interest Group	Organisation						
161		A. Strang	Brereton Parish Community Interest Group	3. Brereton Organisation	FULL	Transport	Support	TRA01: BPCIG are in full support of this policy. We note that TRA01 addresses improvements to transport measures for NEW developments. We also would like to see improvements for EXISTING services. Perhaps TRA02 can be extended to address these. TRA02: BPCIG are in full support of this policy. In addition, we also consider it very important that the Plan addresses improvements to other EXISTING transport measures ie public transport and car parking, currently omitted from this policy. TRA03: BPCIG are in full support of this policy. TRA04: BPCIG are in full support of this policy.	Acknowledged. The intent of the NP is to improve existing services where possible. A wording change will be made to the policy.	Yes
162		Ken Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
162		Ken Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
162		Ken Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
162		Ken Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
162		Ken Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
162		Ken Edge	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
163	*	Helen Cook	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Object	The plan I have seen seems to be pandering to the NIMBY groups and supporters. Not in my back yard folk. Needs to reflect Local plan for Cheshireeast when approved.	Response. We reject this assertion. The Brereton NP reflects the current version of the Cheshire East Local Plan. The NP has been developed in full recognition of the emerging Local Plan as well as the Saved Policies of the Congleton Borough Council. Housing needs projections for Brereton, undertaken by independent consultants, include a 50% increase beyond the submission version (2014) of the Cheshire East Local Plan in the number of houses allocated to rural areas. This has been done to mitigate any potential uplift by Cheshire East as a result of the additional work subsequent to their Local Plan inspectors interim report. The Cheshire East Council response to the Regulation 14 consultation supports the NP.	No
163	*	Helen Cook	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Object	HOU2 HOU7 HOU11 New housing should be allocated to open fields close to recreational facilities like Brereton Heath Reserve the houses should be 3 Bed & suitable-affordable and practical houses that young couple can bring up small children within their finances.	Response. There is no evidence to support the assertions made in this comment. These issues were not raised in our consultations.	No
163	*	Helen Cook	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Object	Improvements should be made so that there is adequate carparking around school for the garden party event in summer.	Response. Policy COM05 paragraph 1 supports car parking to serve local visitor attractions.	No
163	*	Helen Cook	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Object	fields need to be allocated for employment business and warehousing. The suggestion that ex farm buildings can be used is inadequate. fields need to be close to M6 arteraries.	Response. There is no evidence to support the assertions made in this comment. These issues were not raised in our consultations.	No
163	*	Helen Cook	Individual	4. Non-Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Neither	None to make. Allocate open space eg fields for housing and business.	Response. There is no evidence to support the assertions made in this comment. These issues were not raised in our consultations.	No
163	*	Helen Cook	Individual	4. Non-	FULL	Transport	Object	Would suggest more public transport would be welcomed by residents???	Response.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Brereton Resident					Policy TRA01 seeks to address public transport implications of new developments.	
164		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support	I welcome the plan which give clear summary of the proposals. I commend the hard work that has been put in to the plan.	Acknowledged.	No
164		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support	I understand that there is a requirement for Brereton Parish Council to build 50 houses and that the Bloor developments on Holmes Chapel Road and the 6 proposed down Moss lane meets that requirement. I think it is important to maintain the very rural nature of Brereton Parish as this is the reason why many people live, work and visit it. Whilst realising and accepting it is important to have new housing for people born within the parish to be able to purchase and to also provide for the growing population I think that further development in Brereton Heath would radically alter the make up of the area from 5 years ago.	Acknowledged.	No
164		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
164		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
164		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support	As stated previously it is important to safeguard the features which makes Brereton a pleasant place to live in and the reason there are many visitors. Strengthening existing facilities to manage the increased number of people is vital. Of particular concern to me is the parking on verges outside of Brereton Park, dog fouling and litter.	Acknowledged.	No
164		Helen Wardle	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	Concern over the ever increasing use of the smaller roads as 'rat runs' and support the policy of enhancing network of cycle ways, bridleways and footpaths.	Acknowledged.	No
165	*	Mrs C Hennessy	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support	care needs to be taken not to copy the overdevelopment of the local areas as in Holmes Chapel.	Acknowledged	No
165	*	Mrs C Hennessy	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
165	*	Mrs C Hennessy	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support	I strongly agree that redundant buildings should be used for development.	Acknowledged	No
165	*	Mrs C Hennessy	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support	Our rural environment needs to be protected	Acknowledged	No
165	*	Mrs C Hennessy	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	It is not only the narrow lanes that are a major concern with increased traffic and speed - the reduction in speed limits, particularly where new homes have been built is a priority for the safety of all residents.	Acknowledged	No
167	*	JR Jackson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Neither	One or two typographical errors: 'Leisure Sales' does not appear on Key Map 'Arclid Farm' does not appear on Key Map 'Open Spaces' one open to ??? RVW Pugh Ltd - should have been built at J18 on M6!	Acknowledged. Not all business and farms are noted as Open Spaces.	No
168	**	Rachael A. Bust	The Coal Authority	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	No specific comments to make at this stage. (Representation letter received by email)	Acknowledged.	No
169	**	Darren Ratcliffe	Historic England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	Representation letter received by post 13th May. Consider no need for Historic England to be involved in the development of the Brereton NP. However, they have some specific comments on Environment policies relating to the historic environment.	Acknowledged. See responses below.	Yes
169	**	Darren Ratcliffe	Historic England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Environment	Neither	There is a lack of evidence and policy relating to the historic environment; the only relevant policy appears to be ENV09. There ought to be more scope to recognise, celebrate and protect Brereton's rich heritage, through the NP process.	Acknowledged. The Plan will be updated with a new policy concerning the setting of heritage assets within the Parish and justification for the new policy. The list of all listed buildings (SD/C24b) will be	Yes



Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
									updated, and add to it the listed park and garden within the Parish, as Supporting Documents to the Plan. There is no need to duplicate existing saved policies in the Congleton Borough Local Plan or the emerging Cheshire East policy which are comprehensive in their coverage of heritage matters. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	
170		Jean Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
170		Jean Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
170		Jean Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
170		Jean Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
170		Jean Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
170		Jean Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
171		Peter Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
171		Peter Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
171		Peter Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
171		Peter Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
171		Peter Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
171		Peter Newham	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
172		Moira Evans	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
172		Moira Evans	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support	the stated number of houses of 50 by 2030 I feel should be a maximum.	Acknowledged.	No
172		Moira Evans	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support	looking forward to seeing the community hub grow	Acknowledged.	No
172		Moira Evans	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support	There is a rise in demand for agricultural based products directly from the source, Brereton should be well placed to take advantage of this, it would sustain and protect agricultural livelihoods. I would welcome a local business networking hub for the area and perhaps adjoining areas to support local small business.	Acknowledged.	No

Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
172		Moira Evans	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
172		Moira Evans	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support	Road safety is a concern in particular the A roads and some of the faster rural roads.	Acknowledged.	No
173		David Hill	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
175	*	Denise Porter	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
175	*	Denise Porter	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
175	*	Denise Porter	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
175	*	Denise Porter	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
175	*	Denise Porter	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
175	*	Denise Porter	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
176	*	Lisette Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
176	*	Lisette Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
176	*	Lisette Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
176	*	Lisette Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
176	*	Lisette Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
176	*	Lisette Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
177	*	Graham Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
177	*	Graham Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
177	*	Graham Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
177	*	Graham Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
177	*	Graham Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
177	*	Graham Dellow	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
178		Nicholas & Penelope ELLAM	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
178		Nicholas & Penelope ELLAM	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
178		Nicholas & Penelope ELLAM	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
178		Nicholas & Penelope ELLAM	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
178		Nicholas & Penelope ELLAM	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
178		Nicholas & Penelope ELLAM	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
181	**	Rev. Robin Mosley	St. Oswald's Church (C of E), Brereton	10. Religious Group	FULL	General	Neither	Full response is in letter received by email on 22nd May.	Acknowledged.	No
181	**	Rev. Robin Mosley	St. Oswald's Church (C of E), Brereton	10. Religious Group	FULL	Community	Neither	COM03: Our comments concern omissions from the Plan. The objective of improving community facilities and contributing additional facilities and services is supported. However we are concerned that the plan makes very little reference to three important existing community facilities in Brereton, which during the plan period may require improvement. These three community facilities are an important land use in the Parish and should be referred to in the plan. These existing community facilities are (a) St. Oswalds Church, (b) Brereton Church of England (Aided) Primary School and (c) Brereton Pre-School Nursery & Play Group. COM01, COM02: Support.	Acknowledged. The NP does acknowledge the importance of St. Oswalds Church, the Primary School and the Pre-School as key facilities within the Parish. This is referenced in Policy COM03, and detailed in supporting documents SD/C22a and SD/C22b. Policy COM03 seeks to retain and improve existing facilities during the NP period.	No
182	**	Simon Clarke	Highways England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Transport	Support	Received by email on 22 May . We would endorse your general aims and objectives as shown in Section 5 of this document i.e. 'to seek ongoing improvements to transport, digital connectivity and utility services'. It is noted that along part of the western Parish boundaries opportunities may be presented in future for enhancing segregated cycling routes for this area of mid Cheshire, as there are a number of M6 motorway overbridges which carry country lanes and tracks. Highways England would be quite willing to work with the relevant authorities, and where applicable be involved in liaison with other parties, including local interest groups in investigating feasibility of improving such sustainable transport links.	Response. The Parish Council acknowledge Highways England's comments and these will be taken account of in the implementation of the NP.	No
183	**	Dave Sherratt	United Utilities Water	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Support	Letter received by email on 22nd May, ref DC/15/1313 Water and wastewater services are vital for the future well-being of your community and the protection of the environment. When developing your future policies you should consider the impacts on its community and environment and ensure infrastructure capacity is available.	Response. The Parish Council acknowledge United Utilities Waste and Water services comments and these will be taken account of in the implementation of the NP.	No
183	**	Dave Sherratt	United Utilities Water	7. Statutory Non-	FULL	Housing	Support	HOU01: Justification and Evidence Identification of underground utilities assets Your Justification & Evidence for Policy HOU01 Amount of New Housing Development should include text to cover the identification and protection of underground utilities infrastructure	Acknowledged and noted.	No

**Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries**

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Council				assets. The design, type, and/or location of any development; [its hardstandings; landscaping; boundary walls etc.] should have consideration for their impact on underground utilities infrastructure assets; their on-going protection; operation and future maintenance. This should not be limited to the service they provide to the existing property, but also the service they provide to the surrounding community and environment. Checks should be undertaken to identify the location of any underground utility infrastructure assets; a diversion may be required at the developer's expense; these can be expensive and could result in the development becoming unviable. The building over and/or construction activities near/adjacent to water mains or		
183	**	Dave Sherratt	United Utilities Water	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Housing	Support	HOU01: Please note: Following the recent transfer of private sewers to Water and Sewerage Companies in England and Wales, not all sewers are currently shown on the statutory sewer records. You should be aware that, on occasion, gaps are left between properties; this is due to the presence of underground utility infrastructure assets. We will not allow the building over or near to these assets and development will not be acceptable in these locations. Water and sewerage companies have a legal right of access to their assets; this can be for operational and/or maintenance activities; therefore we will not permit the building over of and/or to near of our infrastructure assets. Legal action may be taken to remove any obstacles [at the developer's expense] that prevent us from carrying out our statutory duties.	Acknowledged and noted.	No
184	**	Laura Kelly	National Grid	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	Letter received by email on 22nd May. An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Grid's electricity and gas transmission apparatus which includes high voltage electricity assets and high pressure gas pipelines and also National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate / High Pressure apparatus. National Grid has identified the following high pressure gas transmission pipeline as falling within the Neighbourhood area boundary: Pipeline FM04: Audley to Warburton From the consultation information provided, the above gas transmission pipeline does not interact with any of the proposed development sites. Gas Distribution – Low / Medium Pressure Whilst there is no record of National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate / High Pressure apparatus, there may however be Low Pressure (LP) / Medium Pressure (MP) Gas Distribution pipes present within proposed development sites. If further information is required in relation to the LP/MP network please contact <a href="mailto:plantprotection@nationalgrid.com">plantprotection@nationalgrid.com</a>	Acknowledged.	No
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	General	Neither	Letter received by email on 22nd May Ref 150390 Designated Sites Natural England advises that the neighbourhood plan area includes Bagmere Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) which is a component of the Midland Meres and Mosses Phase 1 Ramsar Wetlands. Ramsar sites are designated as wetlands of international importance under the Ramsar Convention and are given the highest levels of protection under national policy1. The plan area also intersects River Dane SSSI and is in close proximity to Holly Banks SSSI and Brookhouse Moss SSSI.	Acknowledged. The Plan will be updated to reflect the recommendations advised by Historic England.	Yes
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Housing	Neither	HOU01, HOU02: Natural England advises that the special features of the Midland Meres and Mosses Phase 2 Ramsar Sites are particularly sensitive to hydrological changes. We advise that there is potential for adverse impacts to arise from new housing as a result of policies HOU01 and HOU02 from: the further modification of the hydrological function of the catchments supporting the sites particularly loss and/or change in the character of water input (chemistry and flow) to the site. the potential changes in the water supplying the habitats of the site, the further risk of pollution of the sensitive wetland, by activities during construction and from domestic activities post-construction, and the potential for hindrance of the conservation/restoration measures necessary, both within the site and its surrounding catchment, to achieve the sites' conservation objectives.	Acknowledged. Additional criterion will be added to policies HOU01 and HOU02.	Yes
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Housing	Neither	HOU01, HOU02: Natural England notes that the settlement boundary of Brereton Heath lies less than 700m from Bagmere SSSI (Midlands Meres and Mosses Phase 1 Ramsar) and the settlement is within the water catchment for the site. We would be concerned if development came forward in Brereton Heath without adequate hydrological surveys and any necessary avoidance or mitigation measures identified by the assessment. We would be particularly concerned if development was proposed which was not connected to mains sewer as foul water and effluent discharges would be particularly damaging to the site.	Acknowledged. Additional criterion will be added to policies HOU01 and HOU02.	Yes

**Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries**

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Environment	Support	ENV04: Natural England broadly welcomes policy ENV04 however we consider that the policy requires a number of amendments in order to effectively safeguard against adverse impacts on designated sites as set out below. Criterion 1 appears to refer only to development within designated sites which would not address hydrological impacts that affect the site from outside the boundaries of the designated site. We advise you consider removing the words "Within these areas" from the criterion. We advise that it is made clear in criterion 2 that development is only permitted where the assessment determines that there is no impact or where effective avoidance/mitigation measures proposed in the assessment are followed. Natural England also advises that you consider providing further details in criterion 2 regarding the topics that will need to be addressed by assessment. In particular we would want to see the assessment submitted in support of any planning application address the potential hydrological impacts of the proposal, both in terms of water quality and water supply to Bagmere SSSI (Midlands Meres and Mosses Phase 1 Ramsar).	Acknowledged. The Plan will be changed as follows. Replace Criterion for ENV04. Criterion 1 refers only to development within designated sites which would not address hydrological impacts that affect the site from outside the boundaries of the designated site. Remove the words "Within these areas" from the criterion. Criterion 2 implies that development is only permitted where the assessment determines that there is no impact or where effective avoidance/mitigation measures proposed in the assessment are followed. Natural England suggest providing further details in criterion 2 regarding the topics that will need to be addressed by assessment. Amend to require the assessment submitted in support of any planning application to also address the potential hydrological impacts of the proposal, both in terms of water quality and water supply to Bagmere SSSI (Midlands Meres and Mosses Phase 1 Ramsar). Amend to presume against development which was not connected to mains sewer as foul water and effluent discharges as this would be particularly damaging to the site. See SD/50c for detailed Response.	Yes
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Environment	Support	ENV05: Natural England notes that the plan area includes areas of agricultural land grades 2 and 3a best and most versatile agricultural land. We welcome the aim of the parish to protect the best and most versatile agricultural, as stated in para 3 of the Justification and Evidence for Policy HOU01, and advise that you consider addressing the protection of soils through policies in the plan. For instance you may wish to include the conservation and sustainable management of soils as a criterion under policy ENV05.	Acknowledged. A new policy will be added to address conservation and sustainable management of soils.	Yes
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Environment	Support	ENV05: Section 6.4 Protect the Rural Environment Natural England broadly support the positive emphasis of this section of the plan, particularly the support for conservation, management and enhancement of local biodiversity and geodiversity in policy ENV05. We suggest you consider including a criterion in ENV05 regarding the protection and enhancement of surface and ground water quality to comply with the Water Framework Directive in ensuring that development does not cause deterioration in the status of inland waters Habitats Regulations Screening Natural England have reviewed the information provided in Habitats Regulations Screening documents a. and b. available from the www.mybrereton.com. We advise that the Local Planning Authority sets the information out in a report format which explains the process, legislation, features and sensitivities of the European and Ramsar sites. For instance as set out in the Cheshire East Local Plan Habitats Regulations report.	Acknowledged. The Plan will be changed to introduce an additional criterion in ENV05 regarding the protection and enhancement of surface and ground water quality to comply with the Water Framework Directive (SD/A15) in ensuring that development does not cause deterioration in the status of inland waters. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Environment	Support	ENV05: Section 6.4 Protect the Rural Environment Strategic Environmental Assessment Natural England has provided a screening opinion to Cheshire East Council with respect to the need for a Strategic Environmental Assessment in our letter dated 07 May 2015 (our ref 151372) which is attached for your ease of reference.	Acknowledged and noted. Cheshire East initially provided an interim screening opinion, pending response from statutory authorities. Cheshire East is to provide us with the formal screening opinion, which will be included in the Submission. Cheshire East will also review the formal screening opinion during Regulation 16 in light of the changes Brereton Parish Council has made to the environment policies in the Submission version of the Plan. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
185	**	Merlin Ash	Natural England	7. Statutory Non-Council	FULL	Environment	Support	ENV05: Section 6.4 Protect the Rural Environment Protected species You should consider whether your plan has any impacts on protected species. To help you do this, Natural England has produced standing advice to help understand the impact of particular developments on protected or Biodiversity Action Plan species should they be identified as an issue. The standing advice also sets out when, following receipt of survey information, you should undertake further consultation with Natural England. Natural England.	Acknowledged. The Plan will be updated in policy justification and evidence ENV05. See SD/C50C for detailed Response.	Yes
186	**	Kate Fitzgerald	Gladman	14. CEC	FULL	General	Object	Representation received by email on 22nd May. Please find attached representations	Rejected.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
			Developments Limited	Housing Market Partnership				and a completed comments form from Gladman Developments in relation to the above consultation. The following appendices to the representations are attached to this email: 1. Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy Inspector's Interim Views 2. Woodcock Holdings Limited v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and Mid - Sussex District Council [2015] EWHC 1173 (Admin) Summary details entered here. The Brereton NP fails to pass assessment against the Basic Conditions a), d), e), and f). The Brereton NP is restrictive to all development. The Brereton NP is anti-growth.	Gladman Developments Ltd. has submitted a detailed representation which can be summarised as follows: 1. The Plan is anti-growth and seeks to restrict all development outside of tightly drawn settlement boundaries 2. The Plan has been prepared without regard to objectively assessed need, which is contrary to the Government's national policy imperative to 'significantly boost the supply of housing' 3. The Plan proposes an approach which does not comply with either the National Planning Policy Framework or Planning Practice Guidance 4. The Plan will not contribute to sustainable development 5. The Plan will not be in conformity with strategic policies in the Development Plan as these have not yet been determined 6. The Plan will fail to comply with the basic conditions for a Neighbourhood Plan.  Brereton Parish Council refute all such claims, in a detailed response referenced in Supporting Document SD/C50C No amendments to the Plan are required as a result of this Representation.	
186	**	Kate Fitzgerald	Gladman Developments Limited	14. CEC Housing Market Partnership	FULL	Housing	Object	Policies are inconsistent with NPPF and PPG.	Rejected. Brereton Parish Council refute all such claims, in a detailed response referenced in Supporting Document SD/C50C. No amendments to the Plan are required as a result of this Representation.	No
186	**	Kate Fitzgerald	Gladman Developments Limited	14. CEC Housing Market Partnership	FULL	Business	Object	Policies are inconsistent with NPPF and PPG.	Rejected. Brereton Parish Council refute all such claims, in a detailed response referenced in Supporting Document SD/C50C. No amendments to the Plan are required as a result of this Representation.	No
186	**	Kate Fitzgerald	Gladman Developments Limited	14. CEC Housing Market Partnership	FULL	Environment	Object	Policies are inconsistent with NPPF and PPG.	Rejected. Brereton Parish Council refute all such claims, in a detailed response referenced in Supporting Document SD/C50C. No amendments to the Plan are required as a result of this Representation.	No
187	**	Catherine Morgetroyd	Cheshire West & Chester Council	6. Statutory Council	FULL	General	Neither	Representation Received by email on 10th April. No comment. Requested confirmation that Sproston Parish Council had been notified.	Response. Confirmation was emailed to CW&C to confirm that Sproston Parish Council had been Notified on 9th April, 2015.	No
188	*	Carol Freeman	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
188	*	Carol Freeman	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
188	*	Carol Freeman	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
188	*	Carol Freeman	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
188	*	Carol Freeman	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
188	*	Carol Freeman	Individual	1.	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No

## Brereton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14 Representations Register of Entries

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
				Brereton Resident						
189	*	Patricia Hodgkinson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	I would be interested to know what, if any, plans there are for the school. Specifically, if new houses are built within the region, does the school have the infrastructure to support increased pupil numbers. If so, how will that affect people who live near to the school, in terms of traffic and increased noise at break/lunch times.	Response. Brereton Parish Council is aware that the primary school at Brereton Green has recently increased its capacity so as to accommodate more children and we have no evidence to suggest that the number of houses proposed in policy HOU01 will lead to a size of increase in the number of children wishing to attend the school which can not be accommodated at the school.	No
189	*	Patricia Hodgkinson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
189	*	Patricia Hodgkinson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
189	*	Patricia Hodgkinson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
189	*	Patricia Hodgkinson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
189	*	Patricia Hodgkinson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	P24, S6.5: Increased traffic volume, size, and unacceptable high speed needs to be addressed on the main road as well as the country lanes. We need better signage, ie 'Brereton Green welcomes careful drivers'. A speed reduction between the signs and Community Speed watch signs. I agree with policy TRA03, and would like to see improvements as soon as possible.	Acknowledged. However, this is not within the specific scope of our NP. Brereton Parish Council are currently making representations to Cheshire East on speed limits on the A54 and will continue to seek improvements to road safety as indicated in draft policy TRA03.	No
190	*	Jean Treasure	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
190	*	Jean Treasure	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support		Acknowledged.	No
190	*	Jean Treasure	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support		Acknowledged.	No
190	*	Jean Treasure	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
190	*	Jean Treasure	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
190	*	Jean Treasure	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support		Acknowledged.	No
191	*	R&L Ashton	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	SUMMARY	Housing	Support	No obvious provision for the elderly, no apartments in the plan.	Acknowledged.	No
192	*	Colin Davenport	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support		Acknowledged.	No
192	*	Colin Davenport	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Neither	HOU01 & HOU02: There appears to be a conflict between Policy HOU01, which states that up to 50 new homes will be built up to the year 2030, whereas Policy HOU02 clearly restricts this housing to be confined to the existing build up areas of Brereton Green and	Response. The evidence at Appendix A, Policy HOU02, paragraph 5 notes that there is sufficient space within the Settlement Boundaries	No

**Brereton Neighbourhood Plan  
Regulation 14 Representations  
Register of Entries**

Reg. 14 Ref. ID	Entry	Respondent	Body	Type	Summary or Full	Plan Section	Support or Object	Comment	Parish Council Response	Reg. 15 Change
								Brereton Heath as defined by the settlement boundaries of maps C20A and C20B. In my opinion there is insufficient land within these boundaries to build that number of dwellings and that further areas of potential land should be identified in order to achieve this.	to accommodate 50 houses over the Plan period. Policy HOU03 allows for exceptions beyond the Settlement Boundaries.	
193	*	Richard Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	General	Support	A well researched document, In my opinion it represents the interests of the residents of Brereton Parish. Hopefully it will limit future development to a sustainable level.	Acknowledged.	No
193	*	Richard Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Housing	Support	P31, S5: I feel that the numbers of future dwellings are optimistic. Future planning applications will continue to be challenged at appeal.	Acknowledged.	No
193	*	Richard Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Community	Support	A new community building is an excellent idea. As is funding on the back of future planning permission.	Acknowledged.	No
193	*	Richard Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Business	Support		Acknowledged.	No
193	*	Richard Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Environment	Support		Acknowledged.	No
193	*	Richard Williamson	Individual	1. Brereton Resident	FULL	Transport	Support	I am not in favour of speed limit reduction on a major road, but I am in favour on a minor road.	Acknowledged.	No

End of Document